

# Effectiveness and cost effectiveness of self-monitoring and treatment of blood pressure in secondary prevention following stroke or transient ischaemic attack

<b>Submission date</b> 10/06/2015	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 10/06/2015	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 17/02/2020	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

This study aims to compare different ways of measuring and managing high blood pressure after a stroke or ministroke (TIA). At present, decisions about whether blood pressure levels need to be treated and therefore how much and what blood pressure lowering treatment to take are usually based on blood pressure levels taken at the hospital clinics or GP surgeries. It is not known whether self-monitoring of blood pressure with some guidance and adjustment of medication would improve the blood pressure control and hence reduce the risk of stroke in people who have had a TIA and milder stroke. The aim of this study is to test whether self-monitoring of blood pressure and supervised self-management of treatment results in better blood pressure control and greater patient satisfaction.

### Who can participate?

Patients aged 55 and over with TIA or stroke who require blood pressure management

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of three groups. The first group monitor their blood pressure and manage their own treatment changes with the study team's support. The second group monitor their blood pressure but the results are relayed to a participant's GP, with GP-led treatment changes. The third group undergoes standard GP clinic blood pressure measurements. All the participants' blood pressure levels are assessed after 6 months and they are asked how they feel about home monitoring of blood pressure in terms of how easy and practical it is, what concerns they may have and how they feel about altering their treatment under supervision. This study also assesses whether blood pressure self-monitoring represents good value for money for the NHS and taxpayers.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Not provided at time of registration

Where is the study run from?  
Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital NHS Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
November 2012 to September 2016

Who is funding the study?  
National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
John Potter

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr John Potter

**Contact details**  
Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital NHS Trust  
Colney Lane  
Colney  
Norwich  
United Kingdom  
NR4 7UY

## Additional identifiers

**ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)**  
NCT02947490

**Protocol serial number**  
11032

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Trial of the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of selfmonitoring and treatment of blood pressure in secondary prevention following stroke or transient ischaemic attack

**Acronym**  
TEST BP

**Study objectives**  
This study aims to compare different ways of measuring and managing high blood pressure after a stroke or ministroke (TIA).

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

11/EE/0147

**Study design**

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Not specified

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: Stroke; Subtopic: Primary Care, Rehabilitation; Disease: Therapy type

**Interventions**

1. Self monitoring of Blood Pressure (SBPM) with supervised patient lead treatment changes
2. SBPM only with GP lead treatment changes
3. Standard GP lead control and no SBPM

Follow Up Length: 6 month(s); Study Entry : Single Randomisation only

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Phase III

**Primary outcome(s)**

Ambulatory BP levels and control at 6 months; Timepoint(s): ABPM at 6 months after randomisation

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

N/A

**Completion date**

30/09/2016

**Eligibility**

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age  $\geq$  55 years
2. Patients with TIA or stroke of mild/moderate severity (NIHSS  $\leq$ 15)
3. Patients that require BP management
4. Patients able and willing to undertake self BP measurement and guided alterations in therapy

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients with terminal illness with life expectancy less than six months including end staged condition e.g. end stage COPD Dementia
2. Patients with moderate to severe cognitive impairment
3. Patients not receiving or expected to start antihypertensive therapy

**Date of first enrolment**

01/11/2012

**Date of final enrolment**

30/09/2016

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital NHS Trust

Colney Lane

Colney

Norwich, Norfolk

United Kingdom

NR4 7UY

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital NHS Trust

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/01wspv808>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

National Institute for Health Research

## Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

## Funding Body Type

Government organisation

## Funding Body Subtype

National government

## Location

United Kingdom

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/09/2018	23/01/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/01/2019	23/01/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	economic evaluation	01/09/2020	17/02/2020	Yes	No