

Does a small incision at the time of total hip replacement confer an advantage to patients by comparison to a standard incision?

Submission date 30/09/2004	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 30/09/2004	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 18/10/2017	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Mr John Timperley

Contact details
Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital (Wonford)
Barrack Road
Exeter
United Kingdom
EX2 5DW

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
N0203132067

Study information

Scientific Title
Does a small incision at the time of total hip replacement confer an advantage to patients by comparison to a standard incision?

Study objectives

Does a small incision at the time of total hip replacement (THR) surgery confer any advantage to patients by comparison to a standard incision?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Total hip replacement

Interventions

Randomised controlled trial - 128 patients undergoing primary total hip replacement will be randomised at time of surgery. They will undergo the gold standard Exeter hip replacement in the usual fashion. Half of the patients will have a standard incision (length no less than 14 cm) and half will have an incision not to exceed 10 cm in length. Peri-operative blood loss will be measured as will a comparison between pre and post-operative haemoglobin levels. Post-operative limb swelling will be measured on the third post-operative day and at follow-up clinics at 6 weeks and 6 months post-op. Pain scores will be taken at 3 days post-op, and at 6 weeks and 6 months. Length of hospital stay will be measured from the day of surgery. Routine hip scores using the Charnley scoring system, and Oxford and Harris Hip scores will also be taken at the 6 month follow-up period. To avoid bias, the post-operative limb measurements will be performed by a non-surgeon clinical assistant, who will be blinded to the wound size as it will be covered by an identical sized dressing in both arms of the study. The patient will be unaware of their wound size at the time of the third post-operative day pain scoring as again, the wound will be covered at this stage. At the end of the study all data will be analysed and reported.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

The aim of the study is to provide an evidence base regarding the most appropriate wound size in primary hip replacement. If significant benefit is found in one arm of the trial compared to the other, this practise will be adopted across the HIP Unit in primary THR. Thus, the research question that is being asked is: Does a small incision confer any greater benefit to patients when compared to use of a standard incision in primary total hip replacement? We plan to perform a randomised, controlled prospective trial to answer this question.

Study endpoints: Comparison of peri-operative blood loss, post-operative haemoglobin levels and need for transfusion, length of hospital stay, comparison of operated limb swelling, pain measurement.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Completion date

31/12/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Any patient undergoing routine primary total hip replacement will be eligible. They will be approached at pre-assessment clinic and offered the opportunity to be involved in the research. Informed written consent to partake will be mandatory for inclusion and any patient who declines will be excluded. If they are happy to consent at this stage, consent will be given. If they require an opportunity to discuss the study with their family or GP, they may do so, and at time of admission to hospital for surgery will sign the consent form if they are happy to be included. Adult subjects only - of any age.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria

Does not match inclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

18/09/2003

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre
Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital (Wonford)
Exeter
United Kingdom
EX2 5DW

Sponsor information

Organisation
Department of Health

Funder(s)

Funder type
Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name
Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration