

# Mapping underdoctored areas

<b>Submission date</b> 06/10/2022	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 02/12/2022	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 15/07/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Access to healthcare relies on there being enough doctors in an area to treat patients. There are fewer doctors in some areas, despite the greater healthcare needs of the population, and it affects people's health because they cannot see a doctor when they need to. The problem of not having enough doctors is known as 'underdoctored.' Some areas struggle to recruit doctors to serve the local population, especially in areas where there are other inequalities, such as people having low incomes, there is high unemployment, poor housing and an unhealthy environment. These inequalities also affect people's health, and we call them the 'social causes of poor health'.

How medical training programmes are organised is important, because we know that where doctors train is a big influence on where they end up working. Several studies have looked into doctors' careers, often using questionnaires to find information, but we think that there are a lot of factors that influence where doctors work which have not yet been looked into, including how this relates to the social causes of poor health.

### Who can participate?

Doctors and trainee doctors aged 18 years or above

### What does the study involve?

Our study will investigate why doctors work where they work, thinking about how the healthcare system as a whole is organised as well as individual choices. We will conduct case studies of three underdoctored areas, and one site that does not struggle to recruit. By looking at these areas, we will be able to understand the differences between places, which will have lessons for other areas in the UK as well as the areas we are focusing on. We will interview doctors at different stages of their careers to understand what doctors think about when they are choosing a job, (e.g. location, career progression) so that we can make changes that mean that more doctors want to work in underdoctored areas.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Although participants may find participation interesting, there are no direct benefits. There are no risks to participating as this is an observational only study.

### Where is the study run from?

Lancaster University (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
August 2022 to March 2025

Who is funding the study?  
National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) (UK).

Who is the main contact?  
Liz Brewster, e.brewster@lancaster.ac.uk

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Liz Brewster

**ORCID ID**  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3604-2897>

**Contact details**  
Health Innovation One  
Sir John Fisher Drive  
Lancaster University  
Lancaster  
United Kingdom  
LA1 4AT  
+44 1524 595 018  
e.brewster@lancaster.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

**Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)**  
Nil known

**Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)**  
317106

**Protocol serial number**  
CPMS 54021, NIHR134540, IRAS 317106

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Mapping underdoctored areas: the impact of medical training pathways on NHS workforce distribution and health inequalities

**Acronym**  
MapDoc

## **Study objectives**

There is an underexplored relationship between medical training pathways and health inequalities.

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 22/08/2022, Faculty of Health and Medicine Research Ethics Committee, Lancaster University (Faculty of Health and Medicine Research Ethics Committee, Health Innovation One, Sir John Fisher Drive, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4AT, UK; no telephone number provided; fhmresearchsupport@lancaster.ac.uk), ref: FHM-2022-0970

## **Study design**

Observational qualitative

## **Primary study design**

Observational

## **Study type(s)**

Other

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Generic health relevance

## **Interventions**

This project will collect qualitative data via interviews. We will work across three underdoctored and one oversubscribed case study site. Case study sites have been selected based on the NHS trusts who offer clinical placements (the hospitals and GP surgeries that medical students work in during their undergraduate study) aligned to a medical school in each case study site. This includes up to 27 different NHS trusts; the sample for these sites will be guided by geospatial statistical analyses, which will map socio-economic inequalities across these case study sites. We aim to recruit participants as described from each case study site, but are aware that this may not be possible within the available time, if pressures on the NHS are too great. Our aim is to work across a selection from each case study, with a view to accessing some participants from each category in a minimum of ten NHS organisations.

The qualitative approach has been selected in order to describe participants' educational and career pathways and relate them to other data around socio-economic inequalities and their impact on healthcare, rather than hypothesising about or predicting responses. The qualitative study will gather information and key themes by using semi-structured interviews to explore their educational and career pathways.

Data analysis will be conducted using a data-driven constant comparison approach that uses conceptual ordering to develop theory. It will foreground experiences that have shaped pathways through medical training, understand key moments of change and identify considerations that will influence potential points of intervention.

## **Interviews**

One interview of approximately 60 minutes will take place with each participant to address the research questions.

Informed consent will be taken at the start of the interview.

We will work with doctors at various career stages, and interviews will focus on particular points in career trajectories.

We will recruit a minimum of 25 and a maximum of 35 consultants and GPs (10 per underdoctored case study; 3-4 in oversubscribed site).

We will recruit a minimum of 25 and a maximum of 35 Specialty/ GP trainees (10 per underdoctored case study; 3-4 in oversubscribed site. Aim for equal representation from GP and specialty trainees, and representation across grades ST1 to ST8. If appropriate, we will include Specialty Doctors).

We will recruit a minimum of 25 and a maximum of 35 Foundation Programme trainees (10 per underdoctored case study; 3-4 in oversubscribed site).

The interviews will be conducted face to face or online via Microsoft Teams, depending on participant preference. All participants taking part in the interviews must be able and willing to give informed consent.

A draft topic guide for the interviews has been created to guide discussion and maximise relevance and appropriateness. The topic guide will be used in the interviews to provide an initial structure and allow for comparability between respondents, while also allowing for flexibility and individuality in the responses given.

Interviews will be recorded and transcribed.

## **Intervention Type**

Other

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Describe participants' educational and career pathways and relate them to other data around socio-economic inequalities and their impact on healthcare measured using one interview of approximately 60 minutes. Data analysis will be conducted using a data-driven constant comparison approach that uses conceptual ordering to develop theory. It will foreground experiences that have shaped pathways through medical training, understand key moments of change and identify considerations that will influence potential points of intervention.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

There are no secondary outcome measures

## **Completion date**

31/03/2025

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

All participants will have a current role in one of the NHS organisations in the case study sites.

Inclusion criteria for the interviews:

1. A consultant, GP, specialty trainee, GP trainee, Specialty Doctor or Foundation Doctor.
2. Over 18 years
3. Able and willing to give informed consent at the time of the interview

## **Participant type(s)**

Health professional

## **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

100

**Key exclusion criteria**

Exclusion criteria for interviews:

1. Age <18 years
2. Does not have a current role as listed above
3. Unable or unwilling to give informed consent

**Date of first enrolment**

01/11/2022

**Date of final enrolment**

01/08/2024

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust**

Lincoln County Hospital

Greetwell Road

Lincoln

United Kingdom

LN2 5QY

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Lancaster University

ROR

<https://ror.org/04f2nsd36>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Government

### Funder Name

NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies Co-ordinating Centre (NETSCC)

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are not expected to be made available due because the research team are not the dataset owner for several datasets. One dataset, which we are dataset owners, will contain data that cannot be de-identified. It will be stored in a non-publically available repository, Lancaster University's PURE repository and will not be accessible beyond the research project.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available, Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>		08/05/2025	12/05/2025	Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	version 1	16/06/2022	18/10/2022	No	Yes
<a href="#">Plain English results</a>			15/07/2025	No	Yes
<a href="#">Study website</a>	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes