

# Piloting automated testing of different reminder messages to increase attendance in a Botswana vision screening programme

<b>Submission date</b> 05/01/2022	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 06/01/2022	<b>Overall study status</b> Suspended	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 16/05/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Eye Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

This study aims to test whether an algorithm (lines of code) can be used to automatically test which form of mobile phone-based reminder message is most effective at encouraging parents and guardians to take their children to receive spectacles. The study will compare the algorithm's performance and costs against that of human statisticians performing the same tasks. The underlying aim is to try and automate complex statistical testing so that global health programmes don't have to spend so much time and money on optimising their projects.

### Who can participate?

The main aim of the study is to test the algorithm's performance as it runs a small trial. The participants in this underlying trial will be parents/guardians of children identified as needing referral for refractive services in Botswanan vision screening programmes run by 'Peek Vision' in 2022.

### What does the study involve?

Parents/guardians will be randomly allocated to receive three standard reminder SMS messages (the control group); the same three standard messages with a new pre-recorded voice reminder (intervention arm 1); three new SMS messages with the new voice reminder (intervention arm 2); or just the three new SMS messages (intervention group 3).

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no direct benefits to participants. The negligible risks include experiencing distress at receiving the reminder messages.

### Where is the study run from?

The London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (UK) and the University of Botswana (Botswana)

### When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

July 2021 to April 2024

Who is funding the study?

1. Wellcome Trust (UK)
2. National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Dr Luke Allen  
luke.allen@lshtm.ac.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Dr Luke Allen

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2750-3575>

### Contact details

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine  
London  
United Kingdom  
WC1E 7HT  
+44 (0)20 7636 8636  
luke.allen@lshtm.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

26480

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Semi-automated Allocation For Equitable Research: automated adaptive allocation and hypothesis testing to increase attendance in a Botswana vision screening programme

### Acronym

SAFER

### Study objectives

Automated interim review, randomisation, allocation, and hypothesis testing will produce the same results as human statisticians performing the same tasks.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

1. Approved 22/12/2021, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine Ethics Committee (Room LG36, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK; +44 (0)20 7927 2221; ethics@lshtm.ac.uk), ref: 26480
2. Approval pending, Ministry of Health in Botswana

### **Study design**

Health economics performance evaluation with a nested randomized controlled trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Other

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Attendance at ophthalmology clinic among schoolchildren identified as requiring correction of visual impairment during a vision screening programme

### **Interventions**

This is an evaluation of an algorithm's performance. The researchers have developed lines of code that can run a pilot embedded, pragmatic, four-arm, adaptive randomised controlled trial (RCT) whereby parents of children identified as requiring follow-up will be sent either the standard three SMS reminder messages (control), or one of three (intervention) alternative reminder messages. The primary aim is to test the ability of the algorithm to autonomously interpret outcome data and adjust the allocation ratio in line with a pre-specified Bayesian equation; and then to identify when the stopping criteria have been met (one arm is found to be best with >95% probability, or there is a >95% probability that the difference between the arms is <1%). Human statisticians will interrogate the data and the 'decisions' made by the algorithm. Differences between the algorithm and human statisticians will be reported. The researchers will also quantify the hours spent by each team member in setting up and running the algorithm.

Primary intervention: the SAFER algorithm: lines of code embedded in a screening programme that can randomise and allocate participants to receive one of four different reminder messages, and then perform interim review, adaptive allocation, and mean difference testing to identify the best performing arm.

Primary control: Human statisticians performing the same tasks using the same data and underlying equations.

Nested RCT control: Three SMS reminder messages will be sent to the phone number provided by the child's registered guardian.

Nested RCT Intervention 1: Three SMS reminder messages with different wording will be sent. The wording of these alternate messages will be developed by a Botswana technical working group.

Nested RCT Intervention 2: The control SMS reminders will be sent. In addition, a voice message will be sent once. The wording of the voice message will be developed by a Botswana technical working group.

Nested RCT Intervention 3: The new SMS messages and the voice message.

Participants will initially be randomly allocated into four arms using computer-generated blocks of 12. As intervention delivery (sending SMS messages) is fully automated, there is no need for any of the investigators to see participant allocation status. Once the first participants attend refractive services the algorithm will begin adjusting the allocation ratio to favour the best-performing arms. There is no need for the investigators to see allocation status at this stage either. The data safety monitoring committee will be fully unmasked to allocation status and all outcome data and will have the power to stop the trial or suspend any arm.

## **Intervention Type**

Other

## **Primary outcome(s)**

1. Differences in the timing and magnitude of allocation adjustments, dropping of arms, and closure of the trial - comparing algorithm performance against human statisticians who will perform real-time review using the same data and underlying equations and criteria:
  - 1.1. Daily assessment of the number of participants allocated to each arm by the algorithm in comparison to the number of participants allocated to each arm by human statisticians using the same outcome data
  - 1.2. Date at which the algorithm concluded that a stopping rule has been satisfied (this includes dropping arms and stopping the trial) vs date at which human statisticians concluded that a stopping rule has been satisfied; report difference in days

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Direct costs of setting up and running the software, compared to the estimated direct costs of using human statisticians to perform the same work. Costs will be calculated at the end of the study once the embedded RCT study has closed:
  - 1.1. The researchers will collect information on two main costs components: the staff involved and the IT hardware and software equipment used in the trial. They will register a map of interrelations between all the activities performed by all the staff included in the trial (statisticians, epidemiologists, database developer and clinical trial managers) and the resources consumed measured in working hours. Activities include, for example, team meetings to conceptualise and plan the trial or algorithm design, and testing and monitoring conducted by the statistician. Staff wages and overheads will be obtained from LSHTM and Peek Payroll services. A list of all IT hardware and software equipment used during the clinical trial will also be recorded including workstation towers, laptops, monitors and printers. IT equipment characteristics, acquisition costs and annual depreciation will be collected from Peek and the International Centre for Eye Health.
  - 1.2. All other costs, not directly related to a specific activity, will be included in an overhead cost category estimated at 15% of the cost of all activities performed, including costs connected with infrastructures and the general operation of the organisation, such as depreciation of buildings, water/gas/electricity, maintenances, insurances, supplies and office equipment, communication and connection costs, and costs connected with general services such as administrative and financial management, human resources, training, legal advice, and documentation.
  - 1.3. To estimate the costs associated with future amendments/adaptations, a list of all the technical requirements will be produced by the statisticians and epidemiologists. Based on these requirements the researchers will estimate the number of working hours that are needed to adapt the algorithm and therefore estimate the associated costs.
2. The primary outcome for the underlying trial is attendance at refractive services on the appointed day (yes/no). The researchers will report attendance rates for each arm (number of participants who attended clinic within 3 weeks of being referred / all those referred).

**Completion date**

01/04/2024

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

Parents/guardians of children identified as needing referral for refractive services in Botswanan vision screening programmes run by 'Peek Vision' in 2022

**Participant type(s)**

Carer

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

All

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Participant does not speak English or Setswana
2. Participant does not have access to a mobile phone

**Date of first enrolment**

15/07/2022

**Date of final enrolment**

15/03/2024

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

Botswana

**Study participating centre**

University of Botswana

Plot 4775 Notwane Rd

Gaborone

Botswana

-

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/00a0jsq62>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Research organisation

**Funder Name**

Wellcome Trust

**Alternative Name(s)**

Wellcome, WT

**Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

**Location**

United Kingdom

**Funder Name**

National Institute for Health Research

**Alternative Name(s)**

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

National government

**Location**

United Kingdom

**Results and Publications**

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Dr Luke Allen (luke.allen@lshtm.ac.uk).

Data type: pseudo-anonymised attendance data by study arm.

Available from the end of study (01/09/2022) until 01/09/2032 for any type of analysis.

In line with the UK concordat on open research data (2016), anonymised data from this trial will be made available to bona fide research groups (evidenced via CVs and the involvement of a qualified statistician), and in line with the trial's publicly available data sharing policy, following review and approval from the trial's data monitoring committee. No reasonable request will be turned down, and the appropriate data will be made available within 1 month of receiving the request.

Mechanism: csv, r or STATA file sent via encrypted email

Consent has not been obtained from participants. As such, there may be multiple levels of permission required in-country before data can be shared, including national ministry of health approval +/- local implementation partner approval.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>		15/08/2022	16/08/2022	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol file</a>	version 2.0	01/09/2021	06/01/2022	No	No