

Randomised multicentre study of prosthetic treatment options for shortened dental arch

Submission date 26/02/2008	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 04/04/2008	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 29/01/2016	Condition category Oral Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Losing teeth is an inevitable part of the aging process for some people. The gaps left by missing teeth can cause problems with eating or speech, as well as affecting appearance, which can be distressing for the sufferer. Losing all of the molars (back teeth used for grinding food) in the upper or lower jaw can be treated in different ways. The main options being replacement with removable dentures (false teeth), dental implants (metal posts which are screwed directly into the jaw bone in order to support replacement teeth) or shortened dental arch (SDA) treatment. SDA is a cost-effective treatment in which only the missing teeth towards the front of the mouth are replaced using fixed bridges (a way of attaching the artificial tooth (or teeth) to a permanent (natural) tooth). There is currently a lack of evidence as to which of these techniques is more beneficial in the long-run. The aim of this study is to compare the effects of SDA and removable partial dentures on future tooth loss and oral health in the long-run.

Who can participate?

Adults over 35 years old who have asked for prosthetic dental treatment who are missing all molars in one jaw and with at least both canines and one premolar left on each side

What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two groups. Those in the first group are given removable partial dentures to replace their missing molars. Those in the second group receive SDA treatment to replace only the essential premolars (teeth between the canines and molars). All participants are examined after 8 weeks, 6 months, 1 year and then every year after the treatment for 5 years. After this, participants have dental exams at 8, 10 and 15 years after treatment. During these examinations dental and oral health are recorded as well as any further tooth loss.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There is no direct benefit for participants taking part in the study, although participants will receive financial support and compensation for the dental treatments and examinations. There are no risks of taking part other than the general risks associated with having dental surgery.

Where is the study run from?

The study is run from the Departments of Prosthetic Dentistry at the Universities of Berlin, Bonn, Dresden, Freiburg, Giessen, Greifswald, Jena, Kiel, Leipzig, Mainz, Munich, Wuerzburg, Witten and Homburg.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

October 2000 to December 2022

Who is funding the study?

German Research Foundation (Germany)

Who is the main contact?

Professor Michael Walter

Michael.Walter@uniklinikum-dresden.de

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Michael Walter

Contact details

Fetscherstr. 74

Dresden

Germany

01307

+49 351/458 2706

Michael.Walter@uniklinikum-dresden.de

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

DFG WA 831/2-1 to 2-6

Study information

Scientific Title

Randomised multicentre study of prosthetic treatment options for shortened dental arch

Acronym

SDAS

Study objectives

Over the last 20 years a mechanistic attitude correlated with a lack of longitudinal controlled randomised trials regarding the question of prosthetic treatment after tooth loss. The need assessment considered the replacement of all missing teeth by fixed or removable partial dentures or dental implants as a necessity especially in cases of shortened dental arches. Modern prosthetic concepts distinguish between different dimensions of need (normative need,

perceived need) being well aware of the fact that perceived need has been under-represented in the past. An innovative sight puts a higher emphasis to the subjective components of need assessment and outcome measurement. Generally three adverse effects of non-replacement of molars were postulated: temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorders, tooth migration /overeruption, insufficient chewing ability. However, no evidence based on randomised trials has been provided concerning the incidence of the adverse side effects mentioned above, nor is there high-level evidence regarding a benefit of removable dentures for molar replacement. On the contrary removable partial dentures are compromised by a high incidence of adverse side effects such as plaque accumulation and periodontal breakdown.

Among therapeutic alternatives, an approach with a limited restoration goal focused on incisors, canines and premolars (shortened dental arch [SDA] concept) has been described and implemented although discussed controversially. Within this concept, fixed partial dentures are used for tooth replacement of which a superior performance compared with removable partial dentures has been reported. The multi-centre study was initiated in 2000 because evidence was lacking concerning the benefit of different therapeutic options regarding the preservation of oral health, oral health related quality of life, patients satisfaction, absence of discomfort, satisfactory chewing ability and aesthetic satisfaction. Public health aspects of the study lie in the fields of health economics, avoidance of over-treatment, and therapy guidelines on a population based level.

Two prosthetic therapy arms will be compared:

1. The replacement of posterior teeth at last up to the first molar by removable partial dentures
2. Prosthetic treatment according to the shortened dental arch concept. To avoid removable partial dentures, posterior teeth are replaced up to the second premolar by fixed restorations, if necessary. Molars are not replaced.

The aim of this trial is to test the hypothesis that the treatment outcome varies depending on the treatment concept (fixed versus removable prostheses) in the therapy of patients with missing molars.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the Technical University of Dresden (Ethikkommission der Medizinischen Fakultät der Technischen Universität Dresden). Date of approval: 19/04/1999 (ref: EK 260399)

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Multi-centre randomised controlled clinical trial

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Tooth loss/ molar replacement

Interventions

Control group (Therapy A): The molar replacement by removable partial dentures, carried out using fixed crowns and bridges as anchor for removable dentures

Intervention group (Therapy B): Restorations according to the SDA concept, with only fixed restorations or no restoration at all. The maximum extension reached up to the second premolar, and no molars were replaced. All restorations were made according to a standardized procedure (SOP) given by the study protocol.

Standard gold alloys and dental ceramics were used for fixed restorations, base metal alloys for the removable denture frameworks.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Further tooth loss is measured at 5, 8, 10 and 15 years

Key secondary outcome(s)

The following will be assessed at baseline (4-8 weeks after insertion), 6 month, then annually from Year 1 to 5, and further at 8, 10 and 15 years:

1. Clinical:

1.1. Crown/root caries

1.2. Abrasion (Index 0-4)

1.3. Interdental spacing in the anterior region (Index 0-3)

1.4. Sensibility (+/-)

1.5. Periodontitis/Gingivitis: Plaque-index (index 0-3), probing depth (6 point measurement in mm), attachment loss (6 point measurement in mm), bleeding on probing (BOP)(+/-), tooth mobility (index 0-3), mucosa lesions (California Dental Association [CDA] Criteria)

2. Clinical dysfunction index: Muscle pain via palpation (m. masseter pars profunda et superficialis, m. temporalis pars posterior et anterior, m. pterygoideus medialis et lateralis)

3. Range of movement (mm): maximal opening

4. TMJ function: Description of pain on movement/path of movement, palpation/auscultation

5. Technical (according to the CDA criteria): Treatment performance, preparation form, marginal fit, occlusion static/dynamic in μm , proximal contacts (shape/ strength)

6. Technical performance (according to the CDA criteria): Evaluation of used materials, prosthesis and bridge design, saddle extension, possibility of dental hygiene

Subjective:

9. Oral health related quality of life (OHIP-Questionnaire): Measure of self reported dysfunction, discomfort and disability attributed to oral conditions

10. Dworkin Index Axis II (questionnaire): Assessment of psychological distress and psychosocial dysfunction including questions regarding:

10.1. Graded chronic pain severity

10.2. Depression

10.3. Vegetative symptoms and somatization subscales of the SCL-90-R developed by Derogatis and others

10.4. Jaw disability checklist

The CDA Criteria are used according to the Guidelines for the Assessment of Clinical Quality and Professional Performance of the California Dental Association: http://www.cda.org/library/cda_member/policy/quality/quality.html

Completion date

31/12/2022

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients over 35 years of age, who requested prosthetic treatment with a minimum dentition of both canines and one premolar per side preserved in at least one jaw (Kennedy class I). A dentition including all anterior teeth up to the second premolar on both sides in one jaw was defined as maximum
2. Rejection of implant treatment by the patient
3. Patients with general health according to American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification group one or two
4. All abutment teeth must be free of periodontal disease (pocket depth less or equal 4 mm, tooth mobility <= grade 2, mean plaque index <= grade 2, bleeding on probing at all teeth <=25 %) and caries
5. Caries free adjacent teeth
6. Sufficient treatment of the opposite jaw, extending the dentition depending on the randomized treatment option up to the second premolar or the first molar

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients with alcohol or drug addiction
2. Mentally disordered patients
3. Patients with TMJ disorders
4. Dysgnathic patients with Angle class II or III
5. Patients who have received or need orthodontical treatment
6. Patients who have been already sufficiently treated
7. Patients who do not accept a removable denture
8. Patients who demand the replacement of all molars
9. Patients with general health American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification group four

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2000

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2010

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

Germany

Study participating centre**University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus**

Department of Prosthetic Dentistry

Technische Universität Dresden

Dental School

Fetscherstraße 74

Dresden

Germany

01307

Study participating centre**Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin**

CC3 - Charité

Center for Dental and Craniofacial Sciences

Department of Prosthodontics

Geriatric Dentistry and Craniomandibular Disorders

Campus Benjamin Franklin

Aßmannshäuser Straße 4

Berlin

Germany

14197

Study participating centre**University of Bonn**

Department of Prosthodontics

Preclinical Education and Dental Materials Science

Welschnonnenstr.17

Bonn

Germany

53111

Study participating centre**Albert-Ludwig University of Freiburg**

Department of Prosthetic Dentistry

Hugstetter Str.55

Freiburg
Germany
79106

Study participating centre
Justus-Liebig University of Giessen
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry
Schlangenzahl 14
Gießen
Germany
35392

Study participating centre
Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University of Greifswald
Department of Prosthodontics, Gerodontology and Biomaterials
Dental School
Rotgerberstr. 8
Greifswald
Germany
17487

Study participating centre
Friedrich-Schiller University of Jena
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry and Dental Material Science
An der alten Post 4
Jena
Germany
07740

Study participating centre
Christan-Albrechts University
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry
Arnold-Heller-Str. 16
Kiel
Germany
24105

Study participating centre
University of Leipzig
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry and Dental Material Science
Nürnbergger Str. 57

Leipzig
Germany
04103

Study participating centre
Johannes-Gutenberg University of Mainz
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry
Augustusplatz 2
Mainz
Germany
55131

Study participating centre
Ludwig-Maximilians University
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry
Goethestr. 70
Munich
Germany
80336

Study participating centre
Julius-Maximilians University of Würzburg
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry
Pleicherwall 2
Würzburg
Germany
97070

Study participating centre
Witten-Herdecke University
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry
Alfred-Herrhausen-Str. 50
Witten
Germany
58448

Study participating centre
Saarland University Hospital and Saarland, University Faculty of Medicine
Department of Prosthetic Dentistry
Geb. 71N
Homburg

Germany
66421

Sponsor information

Organisation

German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)

Organisation

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Prothetische Zahnmedizin und Biomaterialien e.V. (DGPRo)

Organisation

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Zahn-, Mund- und Kieferheilkunde (DGZMK)

Organisation

Cendres+Métaux SA

Organisation

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

ROR

<https://ror.org/018mejw64>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/08/2010		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/07/2012		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/03/2014		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/07/2014		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/07/2014		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/12/2014		Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	19/02/2010		Yes	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes