

# A randomised, controlled trial of surgical staging with endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound for assessment of the mediastinum in lung cancer

<b>Submission date</b> 13/06/2007	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 14/06/2007	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 26/10/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Before undergoing surgery for lung cancer it is crucial to know if the cancer has spread to the lymph nodes in the chest. Currently this is assessed by an operation called a mediastinoscopy. Mediastinoscopy is a surgical procedure to examine the inside of the upper chest between and in front of the lungs (mediastinum), which requires a general anaesthetic and a 2-3 day inpatient stay. The operation is expensive and has a low but not insignificant complication rate. Recently, two minimally invasive screening techniques have been described for sampling lymph nodes by accessing them via the gullet (endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration or EUS-FNA) or via the windpipe and main lung passages (endobronchial ultrasound guided transbronchial needle aspiration or EBUS-TBNA). The advantage of these approaches is that they are normally performed under local anaesthetic and sedation as a day case procedure, are cheaper and have virtually no complications. To date there are no studies comparing these two techniques with mediastinoscopy. The aim of this study is to compare the accuracy of cancer staging, cost effectiveness and complications of EBUS/EUS and mediastinoscopy.

### Who can participate?

Lung cancer patients who require a mediastinoscopy as part of their routine investigations

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to undergo either mediastinoscopy or EBUS/EUS (followed by mediastinoscopy if negative). Biopsy samples from lymph nodes taken by the new techniques are compared with biopsies taken by the surgical technique.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Not provided at time of registration

### Where is the study run from?

Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
December 2007 to November 2010

Who is funding the study?  
Health Technology Assessment Programme (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Robert Rintoul  
robert.rintoul@papworth.nhs.uk

<https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/find-a-clinical-trial/a-trial-comparing-different-ways-of-checking-lymph-nodes-in-the-chest-for-non-small-cell-lung-cancer>

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Robert Rintoul

**Contact details**  
Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust  
Papworth Everard  
Cambridge  
United Kingdom  
CB23 8RE  
+44 (0)1480 364342  
robert.rintoul@papworth.nhs.uk

## Additional identifiers

**ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)**  
NCT00432640

**Protocol serial number**  
HTA 06/302/216; P01198

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
A randomised, controlled trial of surgical staging with endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound for assessment of the mediastinum in lung cancer

**Acronym**  
ASTER

**Study objectives**  
The hypothesis is that endobronchial/endoscopic staging is as accurate as surgical staging.

More details can be found at: <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/hta/06302216>  
Protocol can be found at: [http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/\\_\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0018/51309/PRO-06-302-216.pdf](http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0018/51309/PRO-06-302-216.pdf)

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

1. The Cambridge 1 Research Ethics Committee (REC) (UK), 29/05/2007
2. Ghent University Hospitals REC (Belgium)

Approval pending for centres in Denmark and Germany. These centres will not be recruiting patients before REC approval has been granted.

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

## **Study type(s)**

Diagnostic

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Non small cell lung cancer

## **Interventions**

Patients will be randomised to have surgical mediastinal staging or staging via Endobronchial and Endoscopic Ultrasound (EBUS/EUS).

EBUS/EUS (the research specific intervention):

This takes place in the bronchoscopy suite as a day case procedure under light sedation. The EBUS/EUS probes are passed down the trachea and oesophagus, respectively, and sequentially. Lymph nodes which have been identified by Computed Tomography (CT) scan (routine practice procedure) are biopsied and sent to the histopathology laboratory for histological analysis. The results of the histology inform the staging result. The staging result determines whether or not a patient is suitable for surgical resection of their lung cancer.

Surgical staging:

The surgical staging is conducted under general anaesthetic. Lymph nodes which have been identified by CT are biopsied and processed as above.

The histology samples will be analysed as soon as they are obtained. In those patients whose staging confirms that they are suitable to proceed to surgical resection, the true negative/false negative status of the histology samples will be confirmed. Surgical resection occurs within 14 days of the staging.

## **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Sensitivity of Endobronchial and Endoscopic Ultrasound (EBUS/EUS)

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Utility
2. Number of surgical procedures that can be avoided if EBUS/EUS is used

**Completion date**

30/11/2010

## **Eligibility**

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients with a known diagnosis of non small cell lung cancer
2. Patients fit for bronchoscopy, endoscopy and surgical procedures

Male and female adult patients will be recruited - there is no upper age limit.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

241

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Evidence of metastatic spread
2. Concurrent malignancy
3. Uncorrected coagulopathy
4. Inability to consent

**Date of first enrolment**

01/12/2007

**Date of final enrolment**

30/11/2010

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Belgium

Denmark

Germany

**Study participating centre**

**Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**

Cambridge

United Kingdom

CB23 8RE

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/01qbabb31>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Health Technology Assessment Programme

**Alternative Name(s)**

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, Health Technology Assessment (HTA), HTA

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

National government

**Location**

United Kingdom

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

## IPD sharing plan summary

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/05/2012		Yes	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	health economics analysis	01/07/2014		Yes	No
<a href="#">Plain English results</a>			26/10/2022	No	Yes