

Comparison of forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy in bronchoscopically visible pulmonary lesions

Submission date 01/12/2009	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 19/01/2010	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 29/12/2020	Condition category Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Juergen Hetzel

Contact details
Department of Internal Medicine II
University of Tuebingen
Otfried-Müller-Str. 10
Tuebingen
Germany
72076
-
juergen.hetzel@med.uni-tuebingen.de

Additional identifiers

Study information

Scientific Title
Randomised prospective controlled study for the comparison of forceps biopsy and cryobiopsy in bronchoscopically visible pulmonary lesions

Study objectives

In patients with endobronchially tumour suspicious lesions cryobiopsy shows a higher sensitivity than forceps biopsy.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The corresponding ethics committees and the institutional review boards approved the study protocol. All other centres will seek ethics approval before recruiting participants.

Study design

Prospective randomised controlled partially blinded multicentre parallel-group study

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Bronchoscopically visible pulmonary lesions

Interventions

This was a prospective, randomised, partially blinded multicentre study. A total of 600 patients with suspected endobronchial tumours were investigated. Patients were randomised either to sampling using forceps or the cryoprobe. After obtaining biopsy samples a blinded histological evaluation was performed. Follow-up occurred until the timepoint a definitive diagnosis was obtained either by the investigated biopsy methods or by an additional diagnostic method. According to the definitive clinical diagnosis sensitivity for malignancy was evaluated. Procedure related factors such as duration of procedure (i.e. biopsy sampling plus haemostatic measures), anaesthetic requirements and also the adverse event rate were recorded. A follow-up to guarantee patients safety was not necessary in this case since the intervention was biopsy sampling for diagnostics purposes.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Sensitivity of forceps biopsy versus cryobiopsy: The biopsy technique utilised was regarded as successful, when histological confirmation of the diagnosis was achieved at the initial bronchoscopy and matched the final diagnosis. If additional tests, e.g. further bronchoscopies, surgery etc. were needed to establish the tumour diagnosis, the biopsy was regarded as not diagnostic.

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Bleeding frequency and severity: none/mild (no intervention)/severe (at least one intervention for bleeding control applied)

2. The number of samples taken (per protocol the number of biopsies needed was left to the bronchoscopist's discretion with a suggested maximum limit of four samples)
3. Localisation
4. Classification of tumour into exophytic or submucosal growth
5. Level of difficulty to position the probe (easy, moderate or difficult)
6. Duration of the procedure
7. Historical parameters such as quality and size of the samples
8. Need of additional measures, e.g., immunohistology
9. Bronchoscopy technique (rigid/flexible)
10. Quality of histology
11. Influence of forceps size upon diagnostic yield and sample size
12. Diagnostic yield of forceps biopsy versus cryobiopsy in the whole study population

All assessed intra-/peri-procedural.

Completion date

30/10/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Clinical indication for a biopsy of an endoscopically visible endobronchial lesion suspicious for tumour
2. Aged older than 18 years, either sex
3. Signed declaration of consent

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

593

Key exclusion criteria

1. Haemorrhagic diathesis/anticoagulation
2. Oxygen saturation under 2 l/min less than 90%
3. Severe underlying cardiac disease (unstable angina pectoris, myocardial infarction in the past month, decompensated cardiac insufficiency)

Date of first enrolment

01/06/2005

Date of final enrolment

30/10/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Germany

Study participating centre

Department of Internal Medicine II

Tuebingen

Germany

72076

Sponsor information

Organisation

University Clinical Center Tuebingen (Germany) - represented by its management

ROR

<https://ror.org/00pjgxx97>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

ERBE Elektromedizin GmbH (Germany) - provided cryoprobes and 15 per patient for documentation and additional histological slides

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/03/2012	29/12/2020	Yes	No